

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Hunardeep Singh Sohal, S/o Sh. Bhupinder Singh,
298, VPO: Vallah,
District Amritsar – 143 501

Versus

Public Information Officer,
o/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Amritsar.
First Appellate Authority,
o/o Commissioner of Police, Amritsar.

Appeal Case No. 4140 of 2019

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent

Hira Singh, ASI (for the Respondent) 98780-91391

Rupinderjit Singh, Head Constable (for the Respondent) 89689-08323

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated **28.5.19** vide which the appellant has sought **information by way of a copy of the file whereby he was granted exemption from depositing arms and ammunition during the Lok Sabha Elections in 2019**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **19.6.19** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **5.11.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **23.1.2020**.

2. The appellant is absent but has intimated the Commission of his inability to attend this hearing. The respondent PIO, represented by ASI Hira Singh and Head Constable Rupinderjit Singh, submitted that the appellant was supplied the requested information on 12.6.19. However, on examining the case file it is evident that only two pages were supplied, whereas the appellant had sought the entire file pertaining to the exemption granted to him from depositing his arms and ammunition during Lok Sabha 2019.

3. The respondents however, brought a copy of the contents of the aforementioned file. One copy has been submitted to this Commission and the respondents have agreed to send a second copy to the appellant by Registered Post. A copy of postal receipt and relevant page of the despatch register is to be sent to this Commission.

4. There is no further cause for action in this appeal case. However, since this is first hearing and appellant is absent, this Commission grants one more opportunity to the appellant to be heard in person and to point out any deficiencies in the information furnished.

5. **Next hearing on 19.3.2020 at 11.00 am.**

Sd/-

(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh

23.1.2020

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Hunardeep Singh Sohal, s/o Sh. Bhupinder Singh,
298, VPO: Vallah,
District Amritsar – 143 501

Versus

Public Information Officer,
o/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Amritsar.
First Appellate Authority,
o/o Commissioner of Police, Amritsar.

Appeal Case No. 4141 of 2019

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent

Hira Singh, ASI (for the Respondent) 98780-91391

Rupinderjit Singh, Head Constable (for the Respondent) 89689-08323

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated **28.5.19** vide which the appellant has sought **information by way of a copy of the file regarding his complaint to the Election Commission of India, which was marked for inquiry to the Assistant Commissioner of Police (Headquarters) Amritsar**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **19.6.19** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **5.11.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **23.1.2020**.

2. The appellant is absent but he has intimated the Commission of his inability to attend this hearing. The respondent PIO, represented by ASI Hira Singh and Head Constable Rupinderjit Singh, appeared to be unclear as to the information requested in this RTI application. The precise query in the RTI application as well as the First Appeal filed by the appellant was pointed out to the respondents, who still contended that the appellant has not appended a copy of his complaint (to the ECI), or mentioned the date when this was marked to the ACP Headquarters.

3. Appreciating the respondent PIO's difficulty and to assist him/her to retrieve the requested information, this Commission advises the appellant submit a copy of his complaint to the Election Commission of India. If within his knowledge, he must also mention the date on which

4. **Next hearing on 19.3.2020 at 11.00 am.**

Sd/-
(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh
23.1.2020

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Mohammad Hanif,
Baldev Colony, (G.T.B. Nagar),
Near Central Vidiyala, Nabha,
District Patiala-147201

Versus

Public Information Officer,
o/o Additional Chief Secretary,
Department of Home Affairs and Justice Department,
Punjab, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
o/o Chief Secretary, Punjab Government,
Chandigarh.

Appeal Case No. 4144 of 2019

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent

Charanjit Singh, APIO-Cum-Senior Assistant (for the Respondent) 99142-58929

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated **4.7.19** vide which the appellant has sought **information regarding the action taken against a Punjab Waqf Board member on his complaint P/5 dated 7.5.19**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **16.8.19** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **5.11.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **23.1.2020**.

2. The appellant is absent but has informed to the Commission of his inability to attend this hearing. The respondent PIO, represented by APIO-Cum-Senior Assistant, Charanjit Singh, has brought an attested copy of the letter sent to the Punjab Waqf Board in response to the appellant's complaint of 7.5.19. However, he has not submitted any written reply to the RTI application.

3. The respondent PIO is directed to submit a written reply to the RTI application enumerating the action taken on the appellant's complaint of 7.5.19 and to supply copies of relevant documents before the next hearing.

4. **Next hearing on 19.3.2020 at 11.00 am.**

Sd/-

(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh
23.1.2020

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Ranjit Singh,

s/o Atma Singh,

897, Ward No. 23, Basant Nagar,

Khanna, District Ludhiana.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Senior Superintendent of Police,

Khanna.

First Appellate Authority,

o/o Director General of Police,

Punjab, Chandigarh.

Appeal Case No. 4160 of 2019

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent

Roor Singh, ASI (for the Respondent) 97800-01280

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated **21.7.19** vide which the appellant has sought **information pertaining to darkhast No. 2155/SO/DGP dated 14.6.19 etc.**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **6.11.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **23.1.2020**.

2. The appellant is absent but has informed the Commission of his inability to attend this hearing. The respondent PIO, represented by ASI Roor Singh, has brought the copy of the information requested by the appellant. However, none of the copies have been attested.

3. The respondent PIO is directed to have all the copies attested and handed over to the appellant. The appellant is requested to carefully go through the information and point out deficiencies if any. This must be conveyed to the respondents in writing, with a copy to this Commission.

4. **Next hearing on 19.3.2020 at 11.00 am.**

Sd/-

(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh

23.1.2020

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Ranjit Singh,

s/o Atma Singh,

897, Ward No. 23, Basant Nagar,

Khanna, District Ludhiana.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Senior Superintendent of Police,

Khanna.

First Appellate Authority,

o/o Director General of Police,

Punjab, Chandigarh.

Appeal Case No. 4202 of 2019

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent

Roor Singh, ASI (for the Respondent) 97800-01280

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated **15.6.19** vide which the appellant has sought **information pertaining to two complaints to the SHO Police Station City-1 dated 19.3.19 and 15.4.19**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **21.7.19** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **13.11.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **23.1.2020**.

2. The appellant is absent but has informed the Commission of his inability to attend this hearing. The respondent PIO, represented by ASI Roor Singh, has brought the copy of the information requested by the appellant. However, none of the copies have been attested.

3. The respondent PIO is directed to have all the copies attested and handed over to the appellant. The appellant is requested to carefully go through the information and point out deficiencies if any. This must be conveyed to the respondents in writing, with a copy to this Commission.

4. **Next hearing on 19.3.2020 at 11.00 am.**

Sd/-

(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh

23.1.2020

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Ranjit Singh,

s/o Atma Singh,

897, Ward No. 23, Basant Nagar,

Khanna, District Ludhiana.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Senior Superintendent of Police,

Khanna.

First Appellate Authority,

o/o Director General of Police,

Punjab, Chandigarh.

Appeal Case No. 4203 of 2019

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent

Roor Singh, ASI (for the Respondent) 97800-01280

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated **18.3.19** vide which the appellant has sought **information regarding an inquiry by DSP H. Sukesh Kumar on a complaint dated 12.11.18, which was marked vide No. 4205 dated 17.11.19**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **21.7.19** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **13.11.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **23.1.2020**.

2. The appellant is absent but has informed the Commission of his inability to attend this hearing. The respondent PIO represented by ASI, Roor Singh, has brought the copy of the information requested by the appellant. However, none of the copies are attested.

3. The respondent PIO is directed to have all the copies attested and handed over to the appellant. The appellant is requested to carefully go through the information and point out deficiencies if any. This must be conveyed to the respondents in writing, with a copy to this Commission.

4. **Next hearing on 19.3.2020 at 11.00 am.**

Sd/-

(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh

23.1.2020

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Ranjit Singh,

s/o Atma Singh,

897, Ward No. 23, Basant Nagar,

Khanna, District Ludhiana.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Deputy Inspector General,

Ludhiana Range,

Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,

o/o Director General of Police,

Punjab, Chandigarh.

Appeal Case No. 4204 of 2019

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent

Roor Singh, ASI (for the Respondent) 97800-01280

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated **8.4.19** vide which the appellant has sought **information regarding the SSP Khanna's letter (No. 504-06/PC dated 12.4.19) to the DIG Range after inquiring into a complaint**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **21.7.19** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **13.11.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **23.1.2020**.

2. The appellant is absent but has informed the Commission of his inability to attend this hearing. The respondent PIO represented by ASI, Roor Singh, has brought the copy of the information requested by the appellant. However, none of the copies are attested.

3. The respondent PIO is directed to have all the copies attested and handed over to the appellant. The appellant is requested to carefully go through the information and point out deficiencies if any. This must be conveyed to the respondents in writing, with a copy to this Commission.

4. **Next hearing on 19.3.2020 at 11.00 am.**

Sd/-

(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh

23.1.2020

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Ranjit Singh,

s/o Atma Singh,

897, Ward No. 23, Basant Nagar,

Khanna, District Ludhiana.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Assistant Inspector General of Police (Crime),

Punjab, Chandigarh.

Complaint Case No. 974 of 2019

PRESENT:

(Complainant) Absent

Ramparshad, Senior Assistant (for the Respondent) 98554-64748

ORDER:

1. The complainant, **Ranjit Singh**, filed this RTI application dated 15.4.17 and sought **information by way of an inquiry report based on his complaint to the Chief Minister on 14.3.17**, from the PIO o/o Assistant Inspector General of Police (Crime), Punjab, Chandigarh. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **6.11.19**. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **23.1.2020**.

2. The appellant is absent but he has informed the Commission of his inability to attend this hearing. The respondent PIO, represented by Ram Parshad, Senior Assistant, submitted a reply wherein it is contended that the complaint referred to in this RTI application was never forwarded to the office of AIG Crime.

3. Under Such circumstances the complainant is requested to submit more specific details of his complaint so that this can be located by the respondent PIO.

4. **Next hearing on 19.3.2020 at 11.00 am.**

Sd/-

(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh

23.1.2020

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Bohar Singh,
s/o Banta Singh,
Village Chak Pakhi, PO Chimne Wala,
Tehsil & District Fazilka – 152 12

Versus

Public Information Officer,
o/o Senior Superintendent of Police,
Mohali.

Complaint Case No. 962 of 2019

PRESENT:

(Complainant) Absent

Satnam Singh, ASI (for the Respondent) 95923-99200

ORDER:

1. The complainant, **Bohar Singh**, filed this RTI application dated **14.8.19** and sought **information pertaining to his complaint to the SSP Mohali, against one Vinod Kumar on 9.4.19** from the PIO o/o **Senior Superintendent of Police, Mohali**, when no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **5.11.19**. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **23.1.2020**.
2. The complainant is absent but has written to this Commission on 21.1.2020 seeking exemption from personal appearance at this hearing. The respondent PIO represented by ASI Satnam Singh, stated that the complaint referred to in the appellant's RTI application is still pending primarily because the complainant has failed to join the enquiry.
3. The complainant had sought information listed in 5 points in his RTI application.
4. The respondent PIO is directed to submit a written, pointwise reply to this. He must supply attested copies of documents that can be furnished and cite provisions of the RTI Act, 2005 for information on which he seeks exemption from disclosure.
5. **Next hearing on 19.3.2020 at 11.00 am.**

Sd/-
(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh
23.1.2020

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Harjit Kaur,
w/o Gurdiyal Singh,
VPO Bias (Mohalla Adarsh Nagar),
Tehsil Baba Bakala, District Amritsar.

Versus

Public Information Officer,
o/o Senior Superintendent of Police (Rural),
Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority,
o/o Inspector General,
Border Zone, Amritsar.

Appeal Case No. 4185 of 2019

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent

Avtar Singh, ASI (for the Respondent) 97800-06897

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated **13.12.18** vide which the appellant has sought **information regarding multiple complaints filed by one Rajwinder Kaur w/o Sandeep Singh and Rajwinder Kaur d/o Gurdip Singh and some 8 other complaints against Rajwinder Kaur etc.**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **21.6.19** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **8.11.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **23.1.2020**.

2. The appellant is absent but has intimated to this Commission of her inability to attend this hearing. The respondent PIO, represented by ASI Avtar Singh, submitted that he has only recently joined his post and needs some more time to retrieve the voluminous information sought by the appellant. This Commission grants the respondent PIO one more opportunity to retrieve and supply the information requested by the appellant.

3. **Next hearing on 19.3.2020 at 11.00 am.**

Sd/-
(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh
23.1.2020

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,

Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



Jaswant Sarpal,

S/o Sh. Darbari Lal Sarpal,

2220/2, Arian Street, i/s Mahan Singh Gate,

District Amritsar.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Commissioner of Police, Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority,

o/o Commissioner of Police, Amritsar.

Appeal Case No. 4193 of 2019

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent

Hira Singh, ASI (for the Respondent) 98780-91391

Rupinderjit Singh, Head Constable (for the Respondent) 89689-08323

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated **20.8.19** vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **24.9.19** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **7.11.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **23.1.2020**.

2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO, represented by ASI Hira Singh and Head Constable Rupinderjit Singh, submitted that the information, as requested by the appellant in his RTI application, has already been supplied to him on 14.10.19 vide letter no. 4760/PC from the office of Police Commissioner, Amritsar. The respondents claimed is that there is no other record pertaining to this RTI application, in the custody of office of Commissioner of Police, Amritsar.

3. The appellant, meanwhile, contested the veracity of the documents supplied to him.

4. In view of the appellant's objection, the respondent PIO is directed to submit a duly notarized Affidavit, enumerating all the documents that were supplied to the complainant (Gulshan Arora) referred to at point 3 of this RTI application. The Affidavit must also state the PIO's contention that there is no other document/record, other than that supplied to the appellant, was given to Gulshan Arora.

5. **Next hearing on 19.3.2020 at 11.00 am.**

Sd/-

(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh

23.1.2020